	Case 3:20-cv-03792-WHA	Document 60	Filed 11/19/20	Page 1 of 1	
1					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
7					
8					
9	CORECIVIC, INC.,				
10	Plaintiff,		No. C 20-0379	92 WHA	
11	v.				
12	CANDIDE GROUP, LLC, and MORGAN SIMON,		ORDER GRA		
13	Defendants.		MOTION TO) DISMISS	
14					
15	A multitude of issues have been tendered on defendants' motion to dismiss and to strike the complaint for defamation. It turns out, however, that CoreCivic, Inc., did, in fact, operate				
16					
17	detention facilities for parents separated from their children pursuant to the Border Patrol's				
18	family separation policy. Thus, even though CoreCivic did not operate the detention facilities				
19					
20	in which the children themselves were housed, CoreCivic did house the other half of the afflicted families or at last some of them. Therefore, the allegedly defamatory statements we				
21	anneted families of at last some	or mem. Theref	ore, the anegedly	defamatory statements	were

true enough under the First Amendment and under California defamation law. Truth being a defense, the complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND**. It is unnecessary to reach the remaining issues tendered. All other motions are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2020.

were

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Northern District of California United States District Court

22

23

24

25

26